

CONVERSATION RECORD	TIME 1:15 PM central	DATE Dec. 6, 2018
TYPE <input type="checkbox"/> VISIT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CONFERENCE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TELEPHONE <input type="checkbox"/> INCOMING <input type="checkbox"/> OUTGOING		
Location of Visit/Conference:		
NAME OF PERSON(S) CONTACTED OR IN CONTACT WITH YOU Catherine Farina Daryl Revoldt Pat DeOrio	Organization (Office, Dept. Bureau, Etc.) City of North Canton	Phone No. (202) 991-0477
SUBJECT News article on Safety Center		
SUMMARY <p>We discussed the construction schedule for the Safety Center. A news article (10/30/18) indicates construction beginning in Spring. City indicated that the referendum failed in 2018 and won't come up again until later 2019, so construction wouldn't be until 2020/2021. The article mentioned that the city had requested Design Plans from 15 firms – in reality, the city sent a request for qualifications to 15 design firms; 10 of 15 responded. The request included references for environmental work so the city could ensure those qualifications were also evaluated as part of future bids. The city will now have 4 firms do 90-minute presentations. The City indicated they did a Phase I on the land. The building is planned to be located north of CREC1 (will provide Phase 1 map). SWMUs 2,4,5, & 6 are reportedly are mentioned, with RECs 3 7 4 being problematic. Will need to review PH1. Plans need EPA approval for environmental.</p> <p>We discussed that this is a RCRA Hazardous Waste site, and always will be. City was concerned about having to dig it all up later. I said that was unlikely, but there was always a possibility that things in the future might change and require at least some cleanup. I mentioned the lot includes a former waste disposal area, and soil is likely contaminated and requires proper handling. We talked about the potential for only the upper 6-inches to be removed over the SWMU, and I said a sampling plan from a consultant could be used to justify disposal options, if any. They were concerned about the proposed residential use restriction because of the need to occupants to sleep onsite; I said the site plan and control exposure, and that's why we'd want to see what they propose, and revisit the risk scenarios formally.</p>		
ACTION REQUIRED City to get Hull to provide link to Phase I; EPA review and schedule follow-up call.		
PERSON DOCUMENTING CONVERSATION Joseph C. Kelly, P.G.	SIGNATURE	DATE from notes

ACTION TAKEN		
SIGNATURE	TITLE Environmental Scientist	DATE